



## Facts Rule Out Trash Gasification\*

\* Including pyrolysis, plasma arc, and other variations of staged incineration

Since WW II attempts to gasify municipal solid waste (MSW) have failed repeatedly. Processing trash with high heat is (1) polluting; (2) expensive; (3) energy inefficient; (4) destroys resources that could be reused, recycled, or composted; and (5) generates slag and other “by-products” that have to be landfilled.

### 1. Gasification is a polluting technology

*Gasification pollutants—and the record of unsafe emissions—are similar to those of “traditional” mass-burn incineration.<sup>1</sup> Some examples:*

- Scotland’s Dumfries plant, commissioned to gasify more than 20,000 tons, produced **200 breaches of emissions limits**, two of which involved dioxins, and also had 100 “short-term” exceedances. It was shut down in April 2011 and is now operating on a restricted basis.<sup>2</sup>
- In the UK a waste gasification plant on the Isle of Wight was shut down in 2010 because it **failed dioxin emissions tests**. “Thankfully the public health effects are thought to be minimal.”<sup>3</sup>
- Plasco Energy’s gasification pilot in Ottawa had **29 “emissions incidents,” plus 13 “spills,”** in their 3-year history (2009-11), during which they were able to operate only 25% of the time;<sup>4</sup> and a pilot pyrolysis plant in Romoland, CA emitted significantly greater concentrations of **dioxins, NOx, volatile organic compounds, and particulate matter (PM10)** than the two aging mass-burn incinerators in the Los Angeles area.<sup>5</sup>

### 2. Garbage gasification attempts are characterized by economic and operational failures

*No commercial facilities in the US have succeeded in using gasification, plasma, or pyrolysis to generate energy from MSW. Pilots and plants worldwide have been plagued with problems. Examples:*

- **MA:** Ze-Gen’s pilot project in New Bedford opened in 2007 with the publicized goal of gasifying MSW for electricity. Ze-Gen **abandoned that goal** after multiple operational problems, and shifted to gasifying specific, homogeneous waste streams such as rubber, plastics, railroad ties and wood pellets for fuel.<sup>6</sup> In 2009 their CEO characterized gasification of MSW as “folly.”<sup>7</sup>
- **UK:** Compact Power shut down in 2008, finding costs too high and calorific (energy) value too low;<sup>8</sup> **Germany:** Swartz Pumpe stopped taking waste in 2007;<sup>9</sup> **Germany:** Karlsruhe, the Thermoselect plant (dubbed in the press as “Thermodefect”) was only able to burn half the contractually agreed upon garbage, and ceased operations in 2004 due to multiple operational problems;<sup>10</sup> **WA:** Allied Technology Group (ATG) attempted waste gasification using InEnTec technology that failed to perform, and declared bankruptcy in 2001.<sup>11</sup>

### 3. Gasification undercuts goal of meeting energy needs with renewables

*Gasification produces little energy, and garbage is not a renewable resource—most of it is either made of non-renewable resources or produced with large expenditures of fossil fuel.*

- The Natural Resources Defense Council estimates that 80% of MSW is **non-renewable**.<sup>12</sup>
- Gasification captures even less energy than mass-burn incineration with energy recovery—less than **1/5<sup>th</sup> of the calories (energy units) in garbage**.<sup>13</sup> >>>

#### **4. Gasification utilizes recyclables and industrial waste**

*Records from gasification plants and pilots overseas and in North America indicate that industrial waste, plastics, or other materials are added to MSW to make fuel or electricity.*

- There is **not enough high-carbon material in MSW** to gasify for energy or fuel, especially if all paper, cardboard and plastic have been removed for recycling.<sup>14</sup>
- Europe is turning away from incineration because it **competes with recycling** for these materials.<sup>15</sup>
- Incineration already competes with recycling in MA.<sup>16</sup>

#### **5. Developing disposal facilities uses public money**

*Whether or not facilities get built, both state and local funds are spent for their development. All waste disposal facilities entail the costs of regulation, monitoring, and sometimes clean up.*

- By 2010 Taunton, MA reportedly had spent at least **5 million dollars** on land, consultants, and lawyers to develop a gasification plant that three years later has not been designed or built.<sup>17</sup>
- If garbage gasification plants are allowed in MA, MassDEP will have to develop regulations for facility performance; organize and hold public hearings and respond to comments; review proposals and draft permits; hire consultants; and monitor facilities for compliance, including waste ban compliance. DEP resources would be better spent on **waste reduction** programs.

#### **6. Nothing has changed to warrant modifying the MA moratorium on more incinerators**

- A report by the Tellus Institute, commissioned by MassDEP, advised that Massachusetts **should not pursue gasification** in the Solid Waste Master Plan, 2010-20.<sup>18</sup>
- While the Patrick Administration claims that allowing more combustion will address a “shortfall” in disposal capacity in MA, the same tonnage could be kept out of landfills by enforcing existing waste disposal bans. Since 2009, DEP has issued only three penalties for waste ban violations.<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Theodore S. Pytlar, Jr., Vice President, Dvirka and Bartilucci Consulting Engineers, ppt. presented to the Federation of New

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.heraldsotland.com/mobile/news/environment/cancer-fears-threaten-incinerator-plan.18210277?\\_af=40e1cb2028cd0e9d896c25d22f83cbc57fe712ba](http://www.heraldsotland.com/mobile/news/environment/cancer-fears-threaten-incinerator-plan.18210277?_af=40e1cb2028cd0e9d896c25d22f83cbc57fe712ba)

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.letsrecycle.com/news/latest-news/waste-management/gasification-plant-remains-closed-after-re-testing>

<sup>4</sup> [http://www.zerowasteottawa.com/docs/141-RT-3557\\_RevA\\_PTR%20Final%20Assessment%20Report%20FINAL.pdf](http://www.zerowasteottawa.com/docs/141-RT-3557_RevA_PTR%20Final%20Assessment%20Report%20FINAL.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> Chen, J. (2006, April 17). “IES Romoland Emission Tests, status update.” South Coast Air Quality Management District, Emerging Technologies Forum.

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.ze-gen.com/#projects>

<sup>7</sup> Phone conference, January 29, 2009 with Bill Davis (CEO, Ze-gen), Lynne Pledger (Clean Water Action), Shanna Cleveland (Conservation Law Foundation), Sylvia Broude (Toxics Action Center).

<sup>8</sup> [http://gasifiers.bioenergylists.org/files/Compact\\_power.pdf](http://gasifiers.bioenergylists.org/files/Compact_power.pdf)

<sup>9</sup> [http://www.niederlausitz-aktuell.de/artikel\\_847\\_7296.php](http://www.niederlausitz-aktuell.de/artikel_847_7296.php)

<sup>10</sup> Bernhard Baldas, “The End of the Karlsruhe Garbage Miracle” *Die Tageszeitung* [Germany] 11 Mar. 2004.

<sup>11</sup> Stang, J. “Union Says ATG Owes Severance Pay.” *Tri-City Herald*, November 21 2001.

<sup>12</sup> [http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/ahershkowitz/municipal\\_waste\\_is\\_not\\_renewab.html](http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/ahershkowitz/municipal_waste_is_not_renewab.html)

<sup>13</sup> Fichtner Consulting Engineers Ltd, “The Viability of Advanced Thermal Treatment of MSW in the UK,” ESTET, 3/2004, 8.4.

<sup>14</sup> [http://www.zerowasteottawa.com/docs/141-RT-3557\\_RevA\\_PTR%20Final%20Assessment%20Report%20FINAL.pdf](http://www.zerowasteottawa.com/docs/141-RT-3557_RevA_PTR%20Final%20Assessment%20Report%20FINAL.pdf), 3.1.1; Also, the Thermosteel plant in Karlsruhe added industrial waste. See [http://www.ieabioenergytask36.org/Publications/2001-2003/Case\\_Studies/Case\\_Study\\_on\\_the\\_Thermosteel\\_Facility.pdf](http://www.ieabioenergytask36.org/Publications/2001-2003/Case_Studies/Case_Study_on_the_Thermosteel_Facility.pdf), at p.20.

<sup>15</sup> “...it is also important to switch from energy recovery to increased recycling. Plastic recycling rates are far too low across Europe,” says European Commissioner for the Environment, Janez Potočnik. <http://ukwin.org.uk/2013/01/25/european-environment-commissioner-calls-for-incineration-limits/>

<sup>16</sup> Covanta offered Cape Cod towns a deal if they would contract to send 50% of their trash to the SEMASS incinerator, thereby undercutting the goal of the Cape Cod Planning Commission of a 60% recycling rate by 2012 as laid out in their Regional Policy Plan. See “SouthCoast towns face trash fee increase,” by Charis Anderson, *The New Bedford Standard-Times*, March 1, 2009.

<sup>17</sup> [http://www.tauntongazette.com/news/x794468351/Sen-Marc-Pacheco-proposes-public-forum-on-stalled-Taunton-gasification-project?zc\\_p=0](http://www.tauntongazette.com/news/x794468351/Sen-Marc-Pacheco-proposes-public-forum-on-stalled-Taunton-gasification-project?zc_p=0)

<sup>18</sup> [www.mass.gov/dep/recycle/priorities/tellusmmr.pdf](http://www.mass.gov/dep/recycle/priorities/tellusmmr.pdf), p1.

<sup>19</sup> <http://cleanwater.org/press/state-backs-away-garbage-reduction-policy>